

- Abstract No. : F-0199
- Category : Shoulder
- Detail Category : trauma

## Increased Metal Failure Rate in 2.7-mm Variable-Angle Plates for Midshaft Clavicle Fractures: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis

**Joo Hyun Park<sup>1</sup>, Young Dae Jeon<sup>2</sup>**

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Dongguk University College of Medicine, Goyang, Republic of Korea, Korea, Republic of<sup>1</sup>

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ulsan University Hospital, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Ulsan, Republic of Korea, Korea, Republic of<sup>2</sup>

### Introduction and Background

The use of 2.7-mm variable-angle (VA) plates for midshaft clavicle fractures has increased, yet concerns remain regarding their mechanical durability compared with conventional 3.5-mm plates. This study aimed to compare metal failure rate, failure time, and union time between the two plates.

### Material and Method

A retrospective review was conducted as a multicenter study across two hospitals. Patients who underwent surgical fixation for midshaft clavicle fractures between March 2020 and November 2024 and completed at least 1 year of follow-up were included. A total of 180 patients met the criteria (18 treated with a 2.7-mm VA plate and 162 with a 3.5-mm plate). Propensity score matching (3:1) was performed to reduce baseline imbalance, resulting in 18 patients in the 2.7-mm group and 54 patients in the 3.5-mm group. Metal failure rate, failure time, and union time were evaluated.

### Results

Metal failure occurred in 5 of 18 patients (27.8%) in the 2.7-mm group and in 1 of 54 patients (1.9%) in the 3.5-mm group ( $p = 0.003$ ). Failure time was  $30.1 \pm 18.0$  weeks in the 2.7-mm group and 25.8 weeks in the single failure case in the 3.5-mm group. Among patients who achieved radiologic union, union time did not differ significantly between groups ( $31.5 \pm 23.5$  weeks vs  $42.3 \pm 31.0$  weeks,  $p = 0.263$ ).

### Conclusions

The 2.7-mm VA plate demonstrated a higher metal failure rate without a significant advantage in union time compared with the 3.5-mm plate. These findings suggest that the 2.7-mm low-profile plate offers no healing benefit and may be mechanically less reliable in midshaft clavicle fractures.

